

OGC 73-1981

OGC Has Reviewed

19 October 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR: AD/DCI/IC

SUBJECT: Unclassified Omnibus NSCID

1. The outstanding question raised by Senator McClellan with respect to NSCIDs apparently can be satisfied by an unclassified statement of functions and responsibilities which has been prepared.

2. In the time allotted, we have been unable to do a complete and detailed review of the substantive information contained in your draft but if this goes forward undoubtedly the specific provisions can be looked at again. We do note paragraph 7i. on page 11 which we would recommend be eliminated.

3. We believe there are a number of reasons for not going forward with this. The practical purpose of gaining coordination from the various departments and review in the White House would, undoubtedly, be time consuming. Additionally, however, we are concerned about the timing. There are numerous bills introduced on the Hill to modify the Agency charter. Some of these are extreme and could cause serious difficulties for the Administration and the Agency. However, Senator Stennis is actively pursuing amending legislation in a responsible fashion and the Nedzi Subcommittee clearly is going to do likewise. This raises the question that if an unclassified NSCID appears there will, undoubtedly, be raised the question of why the "Agency charter" should not be placed in legislation. Clearly such a result would be undesirable. STATINTL

JOHN S. WARNER
Acting General Counsel

cc: OLC

✓ OGC Subj - CIA ACTS & STATUTES

OGC Chrono

OGC:JSW:mks

Deputy Legislative Counsel

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM					
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS	
1					
2	EO/DDM&S				
3	7D-18, Hdqs.				
4					
5					
6					
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	
Remarks: <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 50px; height: 15px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <p>As I mentioned in the hall today, the Director has approved the authority to settle claims of employees to compensate for loss or damage to personal property incident to their service. See a copy of our paper approved by the Director on 9 January 1974, attached. I believe this permits the DD/M&S to approve the <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 50px; height: 15px; display: inline-block;"></div> claim in the amount of \$10,000 and that his action need not await the revision of the Agency regulation, <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px; display: inline-block;"></div></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 30px; margin-top: 10px;"></div> <p>Att</p>					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.				DATE	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> OGC, 7D07, Hdqs.				10Jan.74	
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	

FORM NO. 1-67 237 Use previous editions

(40)

cc: CLAIMS file)